arXiv:1702.04708v1 [math.NT] 15 Feb 2017

ON CORRELATIONS BETWEEN CLASS NUMBERS OF IMAGINARY QUADRATIC FIELDS

V VINAY KUMARASWAMY

ABSTRACT. Let $h(-n)$ be the class number of the imaginary quadratic field with discriminant $-n$. We establish an asymtotic formula for correlations involving $h(-n)$ and $h(-n-l)$, over fundamental discriminants that avoid the congruence class $1 \pmod 8$. Our result is uniform in the shift l, and the proof uses an identity of Gauss relating $h(-n)$ to representations of integers as sums of three squares. We also prove analogous results on correlations involving $r_Q(n)$, the number of representations of an integer n by an integral positive definite quadratic form Q.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an arithmetic function $a(n)$, it is a natural problem in analytic number theory to understand moments of $a(n)$, $\sum_{n\leqslant X} a(n)^k$, and shifted sums of the form $\sum_{n\leqslant X} a(n)a(n+l)$. When the $a(n)$ are Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms (the divisor function $d(n)$, for example) information on such correlations can be used to understand properties of their corresponding L-functions.

Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ be an imaginary quadratic field and $h(-n) = \#Cl_K$ be its class number. In this note we study the shifted sum

$$D(X,l) = \sum_{1\leqslant n\leqslant X}^{\flat} h(-n)h(-n-l), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\flat$ in the above sum denotes restriction to n such that both $-n$ and $-n-l$ are fundamental discriminants, and such that neither is congruent to $1 \pmod 8$. By the class number formula we have $h(-n) = n^{1/2+o(1)}$, and as a result one expects that $D(X,l) \sim X^{\frac{3}{2}}(X+l)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using the circle method we show that this holds with a power saving error term.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $l \geqslant 0$ be an integer, and $D(X,l)$ be as above. Let*

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Date: February 16, 2017.

Then there exists a constant $\widehat{\sigma}(l) = \prod_{p\leqslant\infty}\sigma_p(l)$ *given in* (3.7)*, such that for all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *the following asymptotic formula holds,*

$$D(X,l) = \frac{\widehat{\sigma}(l)}{576}X^{\frac{3}{2}}(X+l)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_\varepsilon\left(X^\varepsilon(X+l)^{\frac{5+\delta}{4}}\right).$$

Moreover, $\widehat{\sigma}(l) \neq 0$ *whenever* $\sigma_2(l) \neq 0$*, and* $\widehat{\sigma}(l) \ll 1$*, for an implied constant that is independent of* l*.*

Remark 1.2. From the asymptotic formula in Theorem 1.1 we see that the main term dominates the error term as long as $l \ll X^{2-2\varepsilon}$. By contrast, if the $a(n)$ are Fourier coefficients of cusp forms of integral weight, the asymptotic formula $\sum_{n\leqslant X} a(n)a(n+l) \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$ holds whenever $l \ll X^{2-\frac{14}{39}}$ (or for $l \ll X^{2-2\varepsilon}$, see [B]) if one assumes the Ramanujan conjecture). The relative strength of our result may be explained by the fact that our problem reduces to a problem involving quadratic forms in six variables, whereas, when $a(n) = d(n)$, one has to deal with a quadratic form in four variables.

Moments of $h(-n)$ are well understood; we have the following asymptotic formula,

$$\frac{1}{X^{k/2}}\sum_{n\leqslant X} h(-n)^k = c(k)X + O(X^{1-\theta}),$$

where the sum ranges over fundamental discriminants. For fixed k, this is due to Wolke [W], who showed that the asymptotic formula holds with $\theta = 1/4$. Lavrik [La] showed that one may take $k \ll \sqrt{\log X}$. In Granville and Soundararajan [GS], it is shown that the asymptotic formula holds in the wider range $k \ll \log X$. The methods used to prove these results rely on the theory of character sums. Wolke in [W] speculates that the true order of the error term in (1) is $\theta = 1/2$. For the second moment, excluding fundamental discriminants that lie in the residue class $1 \pmod 8$ we show

Corollary 1.3. *For all* $\varepsilon > 0$ *we have*

$$\frac{1}{X}\sum_{n\leqslant X}^{\flat} h(-n)^2 = \frac{\widehat{\sigma}(0)}{576}X + O_\varepsilon(X^{1/2+\varepsilon}),$$

where $\widehat{\sigma}(0)$ *is the constant from Theorem 1.1.*

We also prove an analogous result for the "non-split" sum,

Theorem 1.4. *Let* d *be a non-negative integer. Set*

$$S(X,d) = \sum_{n\leqslant X}^{\flat} h(-(n^2+d)),$$

where the $\flat$ denotes restriction to fundamental discriminants $-(n^2+d)$ that avoid the congruence class $1 \pmod 8$. *Then there exists a constant $\widetilde{\sigma}(d) = \prod_{p\leqslant\infty} \widetilde{\sigma}_p(d)$ given in* (3.12) *, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$S(X,d) = \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}(d)}{24} X(X^2+d)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O_\varepsilon((X^2+d)^{\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}).$$

Moreover, $\widetilde{\sigma}(d) \neq 0$ so long as $\tilde{\sigma}_2(d) \neq 0$.

Let $r(n) = 4\sum_{d|n} \chi(d)$, where χ is the unique non-principal real character modulo 4, be the number of representations of an n as a sum of two squares. For odd l, Iwaniec [I, Theorem 12.5] showed that

$$\sum_{n\leqslant X} r(n)r(n+l) = 8\left(\sum_{d|l} \frac{1}{d}\right) X + O(l^{\frac{1}{3}}X^{\frac{2}{3}}).$$

As a result, the main term dominates the error term when $l \ll X^{1-\varepsilon}$. In our next result we show that the asymptotic holds in the wider range, $1 \leqslant l \ll X^{\frac{4}{3}-\varepsilon}$, and we impose no other restrictions on l.

Let Q be an integral positive definite quadratic form and n an integer. Let $r_Q(n)$ denote the number of representations of n by the quadratic form $Q(\boldsymbol{x})$. For instance if $Q = x_1^2 + x_2^2$, $r_Q(n) = r(n)$. We establish the following result on correlations between $r_Q(n)$,

Theorem 1.5. *Let Q_i be two integral positive-definite quadratic forms in k_i variables, and l a non-negative integer. Let $n = k_1 + k_2$ and define*

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = 0 \text{ and } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There exists a constant c such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{m\leqslant X} r_{Q_1}(m)r_{Q_2}(m+l) = cX^{\frac{k_1}{2}}(X+l)^{\frac{k_2}{2}-1} + O_{\varepsilon,Q_1,Q_2}(X^\varepsilon(X+l)^{\frac{n+\delta-1}{4}}).$$

Also, Muller [M, Theorem 6.1] considers the second moment of $r_Q(n)$, which translates to $k_1 = k_2$ and $l = 0$ in our setting. When $k_1 \geqslant 3$, our error term is superior to that in Muller's work.

The key input in this paper is a result that counts the number of points that satisfy certain congruence conditions lying on $Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) - l = 0$, where Q_i are integral, positive definite quadratic forms. The result is uniform in l and the congruence conditions, and the proof uses a variant of the δ-symbol, first developed by Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec [DFI1]. Our proof closely follows the ideas of Heath-Brown [HB1, Theorem 4]. The main difficulty is in handling the exponential integrals involving the lopsided weight function $w(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2)$ in Section 2. Ultimately, our error terms are as good as those in [HB1]. Another interesting aspect of our result is that it allows us to handle the 'split' (Theorem 1.1) and 'non-split' (Theorem 1.4) sums simultaneously.

Notation. By $\|w\|_{1,N}$ we denote the Sobolev norm of order N of a function w.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my supervisor, Tim Browning, for suggesting this problem to me, and for his guidance throughout the process of writing this paper, including his detailed comments on earlier drafts. I would also like to thank Rainer Dietmann for pointing me to Mueller's work, and for a helpful conversation.

2. The main proposition

In this section we adopt the convention where a (k_1+k_2)-tuple, $\boldsymbol{x}$ is written $\boldsymbol{x}=(\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{x}_2)$ with $\boldsymbol{x}_i$ being k_i-tuples. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be positive-definite integral quadratic forms in k_1 and k_2 variables, respectively, and let $n=k_1+k_2$. Let A_1, A_2 be positive integers, and $\boldsymbol{a}_i \in (\mathbf{Z}/A_i\mathbf{Z})^{k_i}$ be fixed residue classes. Let $w(\boldsymbol{x})$ be a non-negative smooth function with compact support in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\|w\|_{1,N} \ll_N \|w\|_{1,1}^N$, and let l be a non-negative integer. Define the sum

$$S(\boldsymbol{a}_1,\boldsymbol{a}_2)=\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbf{Z}^{k_1}:\boldsymbol{x}\equiv\boldsymbol{a}_1 (\mathrm{mod}\ A_1)\\ \boldsymbol{y}\in\mathbf{Z}^{k_2}:\boldsymbol{y}\equiv\boldsymbol{a}_1 (\mathrm{mod}\ A_2)\\ Q_1(\boldsymbol{x})-Q_2(\boldsymbol{y})=l}} w\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{Y^{\frac{1}{2}}},\frac{\boldsymbol{y}}{X^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

In this section we give an asymptotic formula for $S(\boldsymbol{a}_1,\boldsymbol{a}_2)$,

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $Y=X+l$. Let*

$$\delta=\begin{cases}1 & l=0 \text{ and } n \text{ is even,}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

With notation as above, we have

$$S(\boldsymbol{a}_1,\boldsymbol{a}_2)=\left(c_\infty(l)\prod_{p<\infty}\mathbf{c}_p\right)\frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}} + O_{\varepsilon,Q_1,Q_2}\left(X^{n\varepsilon}(A_1A_2)^{3n}\|w\|_{1,1}^{2n}Y^{\frac{n-1+\delta}{4}}\right),$$

where

$$c_\infty(l)=\lim_{\kappa\to 0}\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{|Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-\frac{XQ_2(x_2)+l}{Q^2}|\leqslant\kappa} w(\boldsymbol{x})\,d\boldsymbol{x},$$

and

$$c_p=\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\#\left\{\begin{matrix}\boldsymbol{x}_1 \ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^{t+v_p(A_1)})\\ \boldsymbol{x}_2 \ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^{t+v_p(A_2)})\end{matrix} : \begin{matrix}\boldsymbol{x}_1\equiv\boldsymbol{a}\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^{v_p(A_1)})\\ \boldsymbol{x}_2\equiv\boldsymbol{b}\ (\mathrm{mod}\ p^{v_p(A_2)})\\ p^t\mid Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2)-l\end{matrix}\right\}}{p^{(n-1)t}}.$$

Remark 2.2. One can also establish Proposition 2.1 by adapting the proof of the main theorem in [HB2], which uses the classical Hardy-Littlewood circle method. However, the error terms that come out of the classical circle method are inferior to those produced by the δ-symbol method; this is important to us because we want a result that is uniform in l in as wide a range as possible.

2.1. **The δ-symbol method.** Let

$$\delta(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [HB1, Theorem 1] Heath-Brown gives the following decomposition of the δ-symbol in terms of additive characters and the function $h(x, y)$, which closely resembles the Dirac delta at 0,

$$\delta(n) = c_Q Q^{-2} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{d (\mathrm{mod}\ q)} e_q(dn) h\left(\frac{q}{Q}, \frac{n}{Q^2}\right),$$

for any $Q \geqslant 1$. Using the δ-symbol to detect the condition $Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}) - Q_2(\boldsymbol{y}) - l = 0$ in (2.1) with $Q^2 = X + l = Y$ we have (see [HB1, Theorem 2])

$$S(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2) = \frac{c_Q Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1} X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1} A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbf{Z}^n} S_q(\boldsymbol{c}) I_q(\boldsymbol{c}),$$

where

$$S_q(\boldsymbol{c}) = \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{d (\mathrm{mod}\ q)} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}_1 \in (\mathbf{Z}/qA_1\mathbf{Z})^{k_1} : \boldsymbol{x}_1 \equiv \boldsymbol{a}_1 (\mathrm{mod}\ A_1) \\ \boldsymbol{x}_2 \in (\mathbf{Z}/qA_2\mathbf{Z})^{k_2} : \boldsymbol{x}_2 \equiv \boldsymbol{a}_2 (\mathrm{mod}\ A_2)}} e_q\left(d(Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) - l) + \boldsymbol{c}.\boldsymbol{x}\right),$$

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{k_1} \times \mathbf{R}^{k_2}} w(\boldsymbol{x}) h\left(r, \frac{YQ_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - XQ_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) - l}{Y}\right) e_{qA_1/\sqrt{Y}}(-\boldsymbol{c}_1.\boldsymbol{x}_1) \times e_{qA_2/\sqrt{X}}(-\boldsymbol{c}_2.\boldsymbol{x}_2)\, d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

The main term in the asymptotic formula for $S(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{a}_2)$ will come from $\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{0}$, and we now turn to bounding the exponential sums and integrals.

2.2. **Analysis of the exponential sum.** The following is a straightforward consequence of [HB1, Lemma 23].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $q = q'q''$, $A_1 = A_1'A_1''$ and $A_2 = A_2'A_2''$ such that $(A_1'q', A_1''q'') = (A_2'q', A_2''q'') = 1$. Then we have*

$$S_q(\boldsymbol{c}) = S_{q'}(\overline{q''}\boldsymbol{c}) S_{q''}(\overline{q'}\boldsymbol{c}),$$

where $\overline{q'}q' \equiv 1 \pmod{q''}$ and $\overline{q''}q'' \equiv 1 \pmod{q'}$.

The following will be a preliminary bound for $S_q(\boldsymbol{c})$, and it is a straightforward variant of [HB1, Lemma 25]

Lemma 2.4. *We have*

$$S_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll (A_1A_2)^n q^{1+\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $F(\boldsymbol{x}) = F^0(\boldsymbol{x}) - l = Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) - l$. By Cauchy's inequality we have

$$|S_q(\boldsymbol{c})| \leqslant (\varphi(q))^{\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{S}_q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\boldsymbol{c}),$$

where

$$\widetilde{S}_q(\boldsymbol{c}) = \sum_{d(\mathrm{mod}\ q)}^{*} \left| \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{y}_1(\mathrm{mod}\ A_1q):\boldsymbol{x}_1,\boldsymbol{y}_1\equiv\boldsymbol{a}_1(\mathrm{mod}\ A_1) \\ \boldsymbol{x}_2,\boldsymbol{y}_2(\mathrm{mod}\ A_2q):\boldsymbol{x}_2,\boldsymbol{y}_2\equiv\boldsymbol{a}_2(\mathrm{mod}\ A_2)}} e_q(d(F(\boldsymbol{x}) - F(\boldsymbol{y})) + \boldsymbol{c}.(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y})) \right|.$$

Set $\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{z}$. Then $\boldsymbol{z}_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{A_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{z_2} \equiv 0 \pmod{A_2}$. Furthermore, $F(\boldsymbol{x}) - F(\boldsymbol{y}) = F^0(\boldsymbol{z}) + \nabla F(\boldsymbol{z}).\boldsymbol{y}$.

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} e_q(dF^0(\boldsymbol{z}) + \boldsymbol{c}.\boldsymbol{z}) \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{y}_1(\mathrm{mod}\ A_1q):\boldsymbol{y}_1\equiv\boldsymbol{a}_1(\mathrm{mod}\ A_1) \\ \boldsymbol{y}_2(\mathrm{mod}\ A_2q):\boldsymbol{y}_2\equiv\boldsymbol{a}_2(\mathrm{mod}\ A_2)}} e_q(d\boldsymbol{y}.\nabla F(\boldsymbol{z})).$$

If M is the matrix representing the quadratic form F, $\nabla F(\boldsymbol{z}) = M\boldsymbol{z}$. The sum over $\boldsymbol{y}$ above is 0 unless $M\boldsymbol{z_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q/(q, A_1)}$ and $M\boldsymbol{z}_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q/(q, A_2)}$. Since this happens for only $O((q, A_1^2)^{k_1}(q, A_2^2)^{k_2})$ of the $\boldsymbol{z}$, we have

$$\widetilde{S}_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \leqslant (q, A_1^2)^{k_1}(q, A_2^2)^{k_2} q^{1+n}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

The following is the key result on exponential sums, and it is a simple variant of [HB1, Lemma 28].

Lemma 2.5. *Let*

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & l = 0 \text{ and } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{q \leqslant Z} |S_q(\boldsymbol{c})| \ll (A_1A_2)^{2n} Z^{\frac{3+n+\delta}{2}+\varepsilon} X^{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. When $l = 0$ and n is even, the result follows from Lemma 2.4. When $l \neq 0$, or n is odd, we factorise $q = u_1u_2v$ with $(u_1, u_2) = 1, (u_1, A_1A_2) = 1$, u_1u_2 squarefree and v squarefull. Then we have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$S_q(\boldsymbol{c}) = S_{u_1}(\overline{u_2v}\boldsymbol{c})S_{u_2}(\overline{u_1v}\boldsymbol{c})S_v(\overline{u_1u_2}\boldsymbol{c}).$$

Let M be the matrix that corresponds to the quadratic form $F^0(\boldsymbol{x}) = Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2)$. Let $M^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})$ denote the quadratic form that corresponds to the matrix

M^{-1}, which makes sense modulo p if $p \nmid \det M$. We use the bounds,

$$S_{u_1}(\overline{u_2 v}\boldsymbol{c}) \ll C^{\omega(u_1)} u_1^{\frac{1+n}{2}} (l, M^{-1}(\boldsymbol{c}), u_1)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
$$S_v(\overline{u_1 u_2}\boldsymbol{c}) \ll A_1^{k_1} A_2^{k_2} v^{1+\frac{n}{2}}, \text{and}$$
$$S_{u_2}(\overline{u_1 v}\boldsymbol{c}) \ll A_1^{\frac{k_1}{2}} A_2^{\frac{k_2}{2}} (A_1 A_2)^{\frac{1}{4}} u_2^{\frac{1+n}{2}}.$$

The first bound follows from [HB1, Lemma 26], where C is a constant that depends only on $\det M$. Moreover, the term $(l, M^{-1}(\boldsymbol{c}), u_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ can be omitted if n is odd. The last bound follows from the trivial bound, $S_p(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll p^{1+n}$, and by noticing that if $p \mid u_2$ then $p \mid A_1 A_2$. Inserting these bounds into the proof of [HB1, Lemma 28] we obtain (2.2). □

2.3. **Estimates for exponential integrals I.** Let

$$w_0(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(1-x^2)^{-1}), & |x| < 1 \\ 0 & |x| \geqslant 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

be a smooth function with compact support and let $\omega(x) = w_0(x/6)$. Let

$$z(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{YQ_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - XQ_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) - l}{Y}$$

. Then $\omega(z(\boldsymbol{x})) \gg 1$ whenever $\boldsymbol{x} \in \operatorname{supp}(w)$. We have

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} w_3(\boldsymbol{x}) f(z(\boldsymbol{x})) e(-\boldsymbol{u}.\boldsymbol{x})\, d\boldsymbol{x},$$

where $f(y) = h(r,y)\omega(y)$, and $w_3(\boldsymbol{x}) = \dfrac{w(\boldsymbol{x})}{\omega(z(\boldsymbol{x}))}$. Then the function $f(y)$ has compact support. Let $r = q/Q$, then by [HB1, Lemma 17] we have the following bound for its Fourier transform,

$$p_r(t) = p(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(r,y)\omega(y) e(-ty)\, dy \ll_j (r|t|)^{-j}. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = \dfrac{\boldsymbol{c}_1}{qA_1/\sqrt{Y}}$, and $\boldsymbol{u}_2 = \dfrac{\boldsymbol{c}_2}{qA_2/\sqrt{X}}$. By the Fourier inversion theorem we obtain,

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} p(t) e(-tl/Y) I(\boldsymbol{u}, t) dt, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$I(\boldsymbol{u}, t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{k_1+k_2}} w_3(\boldsymbol{x}) e\left(tQ_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - t\frac{X}{Y} Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2) - \boldsymbol{u}.\boldsymbol{x} \right) d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

The key result in this section is

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{c} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and $\|w\|_{1,N} \ll_N \|w\|_{1,1}^N$. Then the following bound holds,*

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll_M r^{-1} \min\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c_1}|}{A_1}, \frac{|\boldsymbol{c_2}|\sqrt{X}}{\sqrt{Y}A_2}\right)^{-M}$$

if $\dfrac{|\boldsymbol{c_1}|}{A_1} \gg \|w\|_{1,1}$ *and* $\dfrac{|\boldsymbol{c_2}|\sqrt{X}}{\sqrt{Y}A_2} \gg \|w\|_{1,1}$.

Proof. For $M > 0$ modifying the proof of [HB1, Lemma 10] to keep track of the dependency on w, we have

$$I(\boldsymbol{u}, t) \ll_M \|w\|_{1,M}|\boldsymbol{u}|^{-M},$$

when $|t| \ll |\boldsymbol{u}|$. Using (2.4) when $|t| \gg |\boldsymbol{u}|$, we get by (2.5)

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll \|w\|_{1,N} r^{-1}|\boldsymbol{u}|^{-N} + r^{-N}|\boldsymbol{u}|^{1-N}.$$

If $|\boldsymbol{u}| \gg \|w\|_{1,1}$, the second term dominates the first, and we get

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll \frac{Q}{q} \min\left\{\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_1|}{A_1}\right)^{-M}, \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{\sqrt{Y}A_2}\right)^{-M}\right\}.$$

□

2.4. **Estimates for exponential integrals II.** By Proposition 2.6 we have arbitrary polynomial decay for $I_q(\boldsymbol{c})$ unless $\boldsymbol{u}_i$ such that $|\boldsymbol{u}_i| \leqslant r^{-1}(AB)\|w\|_{1,1}X^{\varepsilon}$. To get a finer estimate for the integral in this range, we need the following

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\boldsymbol{c} \neq \mathbf{0}$. If $\boldsymbol{c}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we have*

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll \begin{cases} 1 & |\boldsymbol{u}_2| \ll r^{-\varepsilon} \\ r^{-1}\left(\dfrac{X}{Y}\right)^{\frac{k_1}{2}} |\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-\frac{n}{2}} & |\boldsymbol{u}_2| \gg r^{-\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Suppose $\boldsymbol{c}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we have

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll \begin{cases} |\boldsymbol{u}_1|^{1-\frac{k_1}{2}} & |\boldsymbol{u}_1| \ll r^{-\varepsilon} \\ r^{-1}\left(\dfrac{X}{Y}\right)^{\frac{k_1}{2}} |\boldsymbol{u}_1|^{-\frac{n}{2}} & |\boldsymbol{u}_1| \gg r^{-\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

If $\boldsymbol{c}_1, \boldsymbol{c}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have

$$I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll \begin{cases} |\boldsymbol{u}_1|^{1-\frac{k_1}{2}} & |\boldsymbol{u}_2| \ll r^{-\varepsilon} \\ r^{-1}|\boldsymbol{u}_1|^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-\frac{k_2}{2}} & |\boldsymbol{u}_2| \gg r^{-\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. By [HBP, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$I(\boldsymbol{u},t) \ll_{Q_1,Q_2} \begin{cases} |\boldsymbol{u}|^{-N} & |\boldsymbol{u}| \gg |t|, \\ \prod_{i=1}^{k_1} \min\left(1, (|t|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k_2} \min\left(1, \left(|t|\frac{X}{Y}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) & \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where the implied constants depend on N and the L^1 norm of the weight function. Moreover, if $|t| \ll \dfrac{Y}{X}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|$ we also have the bound

$$I(\boldsymbol{u},t) \ll |\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-N},$$

where the implied constant only depends on the measure of the support of w. The above bounds, and the trivial bound, $I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll 1$, which follows from [HB1, Lemma 15], are sufficient to establish our proposition.

Suppose first that $\boldsymbol{u}_1 = \mathbf{0}$. If $|\boldsymbol{u}_2| \ll r^{-\varepsilon}$, then the trivial bound is essentially the best possible bound for the integral. When $|\boldsymbol{u}_2| \gg r^{-\varepsilon}$, we have by the second bound in (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) &\ll \int_{|t|\ll \frac{Y}{X}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|} |p(t)||\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-N}\,dt + \int_{|t|\gg \frac{Y}{X}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|} |p(t)| \left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)^{\frac{k_1}{2}} |\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-\frac{n}{2}}\,dt \\ &\ll r^{-1}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-N} + r^{-1}\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)^{\frac{k_1}{2}} |\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |p(t)| \ll r^{-1}$. Since $|\boldsymbol{u}_2| \gg r^{-\varepsilon}$, the term $r^{-1}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-N}$ can be made arbitrarily small, say $O(r^A)$, by choosing N large enough. This proves (2.6).

Next, suppose that $\boldsymbol{u}_2 = 0$. When $|\boldsymbol{u}_1| \ll r^{-\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) &\ll \int_{|t|\ll|\boldsymbol{u}_1|} |p(t)||u_1|^{-N}\,dt + \int_{|t|\gg|\boldsymbol{u}_1|} |p(t)|t^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}\,dt \\ &\ll |\boldsymbol{u}_1|^{1-\frac{k_1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

upon taking $N > k_1/2$. Arguing as before when $|\boldsymbol{u}_1| \gg r^{-\varepsilon}$ we get the bound in (2.7).

Finally, when $|\boldsymbol{u}_1|, |\boldsymbol{u}_2| \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have $I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) \ll |\boldsymbol{u}_1|^{1-\frac{k_1}{2}}$, exactly as above. We use this bound when $|\boldsymbol{u}_2| \ll r^{-\varepsilon}$. Suppose finally that $|\boldsymbol{u}_2| \gg r^{-\varepsilon}$. Recall that we may assume that $|\boldsymbol{c}_1| \ll \|w\|_{1,1}AX^{\varepsilon}$, as a result we have $|\boldsymbol{u}_1| \ll |\boldsymbol{u}_2|Y/X$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) &\ll \int_{|t|\ll \frac{Y}{X}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|} |p(t)||\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-N}\,dt + \int_{|t|\gg \frac{Y}{X}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|} |p(t)||t|^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}|tX/Y|^{-\frac{k_2}{2}}\,dt \\ &\ll r^{-1}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-N} + r^{-1}|\boldsymbol{u}_1|^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}|\boldsymbol{u}_2|^{-\frac{k_2}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

Taking N large enough, we obtain the bound in (2.8). This completes the proof of the proposition. □

2.5. **Evaluating $I_q(\mathbf{0})$.** Recall that

$$I_q(\mathbf{0}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} w(\boldsymbol{x}) h\left(r, Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - \frac{XQ_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2)+l}{Y}\right) d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

We show that the following holds,

Lemma 2.8. *If $q \ll Q$, we have for all $N \geqslant 1$,*

$$I_q(\mathbf{0}) = c_\infty(w,l) + O(r^N),$$

where

$$c_\infty(w,l) = \lim_{\kappa\to 0} \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{|Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{XQ_2(\boldsymbol{y})+l}{Q^2}| \leqslant \kappa} w(\boldsymbol{x})\, d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

The implied constant depends on the quadratic forms Q_i, the weight function w and N.

Proof. We follow the proof of [HB1, Lemma 13], and also keep track of any dependency on w. Let $c_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_0(x)$, where $w_0(x)$ is defined in (2.3). For $\delta > 0$ define the function

$$w_1(\boldsymbol{x}) = w_\delta\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}}{\delta}, \boldsymbol{y}\right) = c_0^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} w_0\left(\frac{x_i - y_i}{\delta}\right) w(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Then by [HB1, Lemmas 9,12,13] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_q(\mathbf{0}) &= \delta^{-n} \int w_1(\boldsymbol{x}) h\left(r, Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{XQ_2(\boldsymbol{y})+l}{Q^2}\right) d\boldsymbol{x}\, d\boldsymbol{y} \\ &= \delta^{-n} \int \left\{ \lim_{\kappa\to 0} \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{|Q_1(\boldsymbol{x_1}) - \frac{XQ_2(\boldsymbol{y})+l}{Q^2}| \leqslant \kappa} w_1(\boldsymbol{x})\, d\boldsymbol{x} + O_N(r^N \|w\|_{1,N}) \right\} d\boldsymbol{y} \\ &= \lim_{\kappa\to 0} \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{|Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{XQ_2(\boldsymbol{y})+l}{Q^2}| \leqslant \kappa} w(\boldsymbol{x})\, d\boldsymbol{x} + O_N(\delta^{-n} r^N \|w\|_{1,N}), \end{aligned}$$

since $w(\boldsymbol{x}) = \delta^{-n} \int w_\delta\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}}{\delta}, \boldsymbol{y}\right)\, d\boldsymbol{y}$. The implied constant above is absolute, and this completes the proof of the lemma. □

2.6. **Proof of Proposition 2.1.** Since $c_Q = 1 + O_A(Q^{-A})$ by Proposition 2.6, we have

$$S(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{b}) = \frac{Y^{k_1/2-1} X^{k_2/2}}{A_1^{k_1} A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{\substack{|\boldsymbol{c_1}| \ll \|w\|_{1,1} X^\varepsilon A \\ |\boldsymbol{c_2}| \ll X^\varepsilon \frac{\|w\|_{1,1}\sqrt{Y} A_2}{\sqrt{X}}}} \sum_{q \ll Q} \frac{1}{q^n} S_q(\boldsymbol{c}) I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) + O(Q^{-N}).$$

Define the following subsets of $\mathbf{Z}^n$. Let $\mathscr{C}_1 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$,

$$\mathscr{C}_2 = \left\{ \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbf{Z}^n : \boldsymbol{c}_1 = \mathbf{0}, 1 \leqslant |\boldsymbol{c_2}| \ll \|w\|_{1,1} X^{\varepsilon} \frac{\sqrt{Y} A_2}{\sqrt{X}} \right\}$$
$$\mathscr{C}_3 = \left\{ \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbf{Z}^n : 1 \leqslant |\boldsymbol{c_1}| \ll \|w\|_{1,1} X^{\varepsilon} A_1, \boldsymbol{c_2} = \mathbf{0} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathscr{C}_4 = \left\{ \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbf{Z}^n : \begin{array}{c} 1 \leqslant |\boldsymbol{c_1}| \ll \|w\|_{1,1} X^{\varepsilon} A_1 \\ 1 \leqslant |\boldsymbol{c_2}| \ll \|w\|_{1,1} X^{\varepsilon} \dfrac{\sqrt{Y} A_2}{\sqrt{X}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{b}) &= \frac{Y^{k_1/2-1} X^{k_2/2}}{A_1^{k_1} A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathscr{C}_i} \sum_{q \ll Q} \frac{1}{q^n} S_q(\boldsymbol{c}) I_q(\boldsymbol{c}) + O(Q^{-N}) \\ &= S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

say.

2.6.1. *Analysis of the main term.* Using the trivial bound, $I_q(\mathbf{0}) \ll 1$ we have by Lemma 2.5 that

$$\sum_{q \sim R} \frac{1}{q^n} S_q(\mathbf{0}) I_q(\mathbf{0}) \ll (A_1 A_2)^{2n} R^{\frac{3+\delta}{2}} R^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Hence the terms $q > QX^{-\varepsilon}$ in S_1 make a contribution that is $O\left((A_1 A_2)^{2n} Y^{\frac{n-1+\delta}{4}}\right)$. By Lemma 2.8 and [HB1, Lemma 31] we have

$$S_1 = \frac{c_\infty(w,l) Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1} X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1} A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^n} S_q(\mathbf{0}) + O\left((A_1 A_2)^{2n} Y^{\frac{n-1+\delta}{4}+\varepsilon}\right). \tag{2.11}$$

2.6.2. *The leading constant.* Since $S_q(\boldsymbol{c})$ is multiplicative, it is a standard computation to show that

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{-n} S_q(\mathbf{0}) = \prod s_p,$$

where

$$s_p = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{x_1} \pmod{p^{t+v_p(A_1)}} \\ \boldsymbol{x_2} \pmod{p^{t+v_p(A_2)}} \end{array} : \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{x_1} \equiv \boldsymbol{a_1} \pmod{p^{v_p(A_1)}} \\ \boldsymbol{x_2} \equiv \boldsymbol{a}_2 \pmod{p^{v_p(A_2)}} \\ p^t \mid Q_1(\boldsymbol{x_1}) - Q_2(\boldsymbol{x_2}) - l \end{array} \right\}}{p^{(n-1)t}}.$$

2.6.3. *Analysis of the error terms.* Recall that

$$S_2 = \frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_2}\sum_{q\ll Q}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c}).$$

We write

$$S_2 = \frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_2}\sum_{q\ll\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c})$$
$$+ \frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_2}\sum_{q\gg\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c}).$$

Inserting the bound for $I_q(\boldsymbol{c})$ in (2.6) we get

$$S_2 \ll \frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)^{\frac{k_1}{2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_2}\sum_{q\ll\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{|S_q(\boldsymbol{c})|}{q^{n+1}}\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{qA_2}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$$
$$+ \frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_2}\sum_{q\gg\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{|S_q(\boldsymbol{c})|}{q^n}.$$

By Lemma 2.5 we have,

$$S_2 \ll (A_1A_2)^{2n}X^\varepsilon\frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)^{\frac{k_1}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}}{A_2}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\times$$
$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}+\varepsilon}\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_2}|\boldsymbol{c}_2|^{\frac{1+\delta-n}{2}+\varepsilon}$$
$$+ \quad (A_1A_2)^{2n}X^\varepsilon\frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_2}\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{3-n+\delta}{2}+\varepsilon}.$$

To handle the sum over $\boldsymbol{c}$ we use the fact that

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbf{Z}^d\\|\boldsymbol{c}|\leqslant T}}|\boldsymbol{c}|^l \ll \sum_{t\leqslant T}t^{d+l-1} \ll 1+T^{d+l}.$$

Hence we get

$$S_2 \ll (A_1A_2)^{3n}X^{n\varepsilon}\|w\|_{1,1}^{2n}Y^{\frac{n-1+\delta}{4}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}S_3 &= \frac{Y^{k_1/2-1}X^{k_2/2}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_3}\sum_{q\ll Q}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c})\\ &\ll \frac{Y^{k_1/2-1}X^{k_2/2}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_3}\sum_{q\leqslant\left(\frac{Q|\boldsymbol{c}_1|}{A_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c})\\ &\quad+\frac{Y^{k_1/2-1}X^{k_2/2}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_3}\sum_{q>\left(\frac{Q|\boldsymbol{c}_1|}{A_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c})\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 and summing over $\mathscr{C}_3$ we get,

$$\ll (A_1A_2)^{3n}\|w\|_{1,1}^{2n}X^{n\varepsilon}\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)^{\frac{k_2}{2}}Y^{\frac{n-1+\delta}{4}}.$$

The only difference is that we have used (2.6) to bound $I_q(\boldsymbol{c})$.

Finally, when $\boldsymbol{c}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_2$ are non-zero, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}S_4 &\ll \frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_3}\sum_{q\ll\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c})\\ &\quad+\frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathscr{C}_3}\sum_{q\gg\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\sqrt{X}}{A_2Q^{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}}\frac{1}{q^n}S_q(\boldsymbol{c})I_q(\boldsymbol{c}).\end{aligned}$$

By (2.8) and Lemma 2.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned}S_4 &\ll (A_1A_2)^{2n}Y^{n\varepsilon}\frac{Y^{\frac{k_1}{2}-1}X^{\frac{k_2}{2}}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\sqrt{Y}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Y}}{A_1}\right)^{-\frac{k_1}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}}{A_2}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta-k_2}{2}}\times\\ &\qquad\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant|\boldsymbol{c}_1|\ll X^{\varepsilon}A_1\\ 1\leqslant|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\ll X^{\varepsilon}\frac{\sqrt{Y}A_2}{\sqrt{X}}}}|\boldsymbol{c}_1|^{\frac{1+\delta-k_1}{2}}|\boldsymbol{c}_2|^{\frac{1+\delta-k_2}{2}}\\ &\quad+(A_1A_2)^{2n}Y^{n\varepsilon}\frac{Y^{k_1/2-1}X^{k_2/2}}{A_1^{k_1}A_2^{k_2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}}{A_2X^{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta-k_2}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Y}}{A_1}\right)^{1-\frac{k_1}{2}}\times\\ &\qquad\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant|\boldsymbol{c}_1|\ll\|w\|_{1,1}X^{\varepsilon}A_1\\ 1\leqslant|\boldsymbol{c}_2|\ll\|w\|_{1,1}X^{\varepsilon}\frac{\sqrt{Y}A_2}{\sqrt{X}}}}|\boldsymbol{c}_1|^{1-\frac{k_1}{2}}|\boldsymbol{c}_2|^{\frac{1+\delta-k_2}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

The sum over $\boldsymbol{c}$ is handled exactly as before to get

$$S_4 \ll (A_1A_2)^{3n}X^{n\varepsilon}\|w\|_{1,1}^{2n}Y^{\frac{n-1+\delta}{4}}.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

3. Proof of the main theorems

We now turn to the proofs of the main theorems.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** First, we show that it is sufficient to work with a smoothed version of $D(X,l)$. Let α and β be smooth functions with compact support, taking values in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\alpha^{(j)}(x) \ll 1$, and $\beta^{(j)}(x) \ll P^j$ such that

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leqslant 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1/P \leqslant x \leqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geqslant 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leqslant 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 1/P \leqslant x \leqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geqslant 1+1/P. \end{cases}$$

Define the sum

$$\widetilde{D}(X,l) = \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{m-n=l} h(-m)h(-n)\alpha\left(\frac{m}{X+l}\right)\beta\left(\frac{n}{X}\right). \tag{3.1}$$

The parameter P will be chosen later, and will typically be of size X^{ε} for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. We have

Lemma 3.1. *With notation as above we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$ that*

$$D(X,l) - \widetilde{D}(X,l) \ll X^{3/2}(X+l)^{1/2+\varepsilon}/P.$$

Proof. By the definition of the smooth weights,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{D}(X,l) &= \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{n\leqslant X} h(-n)h(-n-l) \\ &\quad + \sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{X<n\leqslant X+X/P} h(-n)h(-n-l)\alpha\left(\frac{n+l}{X+l}\right)\beta\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ &\quad + O\Big(\sideset{}{^\flat}\sum_{n<X/P} h(-n)h(-n-l)\Big) \\ &= D(X,l) + O(X^{1/2}(X+l)^{1/2+\varepsilon}X/P).\end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. **Reduction to a counting problem.** Let $r_3(n)$ be the number of representations of n as a sum of three squares. The key input is to use an identity due to Gauss (see [C, Proposition 5.3.10]),

$$r_3(n) = 12\left(1 - \left(\frac{-n}{2}\right)\right) h(-n), \tag{3.2}$$

which holds when $n < -3$ is a fundamental discriminant. The identity enables us to transform the shifted sum $\sum^{\flat} h(-n)h(-n-l)$ to sums of the form $\sum r_3(n)r_3(n+l)$, which in turn reduces to the problem of counting integer points in bounded regions that lie on the quadratic form $m_1^2+m_2^2+m_3^2-n_1^2-n_2^2-n_3^2-l=0$. This counting problem is executed by appealing to Proposition 2.1.

Recall that if an integer n is a fundamental discriminant then either $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and square-free, or $n = 4m$ with m square-free and $m \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod 4$.

To handle the 2-adic congruence conditions, we set up some notation. Let $S = \{1, 4\}$. To each $s \in S$ we associate certain residue classes in $\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$, or $\mathbf{Z}/8\mathbf{Z}$. Set $R(1) = \{5\}$, $M(1) = 8$, $R(4) = \{2, 3\}$, $M(4) = 4$, and weights $\tau(1,1) = 1, \tau(1,4) = \tau(4,1) = 2$ and $\tau(4,4) = 4$ to pairs $(s,t) \in S \times S$.

Also, for an integer A define

$$\varrho(A) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x} \in (\mathbf{Z}/A\mathbf{Z})^3 \\ F(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv 0 \pmod A}} 1.$$

Excluding fundamental discriminants that are congruent to $1 \pmod 8$ in (3.1) we get by (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{D}(X,l) &= \frac{1}{576} \sum_{(s,t)\in S\times S} \tau(s,t) \sum_{\substack{sm-tn=l \\ -m\in R(s) \\ -n\in R(t)}} \mu^2(m)\mu^2(n) r_3(m) r_3(n) \alpha\left(\frac{sm}{X+l}\right) \beta\left(\frac{tn}{X}\right) \\ &= \sum_{(s,t)\in S\times S} T(s,t),\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

say. For the rest of the proof we use boldface $\boldsymbol{x}$ to denote a 3-tuple (x_1, x_2, x_3), and by $F(\boldsymbol{x}) = |\boldsymbol{x}|_2^2$ we denote the square of the L^2 norm of $\boldsymbol{x}$. We detect the square-free condition in (3.3) by using the identity $\mu^2(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu(d)$. For instance, we have

$$T(1,1) = \frac{1}{576} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \mu(j) \sum_{\substack{m-n=l \\ n\equiv 0 \pmod{j^2} \\ n\equiv -5 \pmod 8 \\ m\equiv -5 \pmod 8}} r_3(n)\mu^2(m) r_3(m) \alpha\left(\frac{m}{X+l}\right) \beta\left(\frac{n}{X}\right).$$

In the following lemma we show that the j-sum can be truncated, and that the tail makes a small contribution. Define

$$w(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) = \alpha(|\boldsymbol{x}|_2^2)\beta(|\boldsymbol{y}|_2^2). \tag{3.4}$$

Let $s \in S$. For an integer j define the set

$$\mathscr{A}_j(s) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{a} \in (\mathbf{Z}/M(s)j^2\mathbf{Z})^3 : \begin{array}{l} F(\boldsymbol{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{j^2} \\ F(\boldsymbol{a}) \in R(s) \pmod{M(s)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $\eta > 0$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}(X,l) = \frac{1}{576} \sum_{(s,t)\in S\times S} \tau(s,t) \sum_{\substack{j,k\leqslant X^\eta \\ (2,jk)=1,(j,k)^2|l}} \mu(j)\mu(k)\times \\ \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathscr{A}_j(s) \\ \boldsymbol{a}_2\in\mathscr{A}_k(t)}} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbf{Z}^3 \\ \boldsymbol{m}\equiv\boldsymbol{a}(\mathrm{mod}\ M(s)j^2) \\ \boldsymbol{n}\equiv\boldsymbol{b}(\mathrm{mod}\ M(t)k^2) \\ sF(\boldsymbol{m})-tF(\boldsymbol{n})=l}} w\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}\boldsymbol{m}}{X+l}, \frac{\sqrt{t}\boldsymbol{n}}{X}\right) \\ + O(X^{3/2-\eta}(X+l)^{1/2+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

The implied constants depend only on ε.

Proof. To simplify notation, we work with $T(1,1)$. The other terms are handled in exactly the same way. Opening up $\mu^2(n)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(1,1) &= \frac{1}{576}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mu(k) \sum_{\substack{m-n=l \\ n\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\ k^2) \\ n\equiv -5 \pmod 8 \\ m\equiv -5 \pmod 8}} r_3(n)\mu^2(m)r_3(m)\alpha\left(\frac{m}{X+l}\right)\beta\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j\leqslant X^\eta} + \sum_{j>X^\eta} = S_1 + S_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{576}\sum_{j>X^\eta}\sum_{\boldsymbol{b}\in\mathscr{A}_k(1)} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbf{Z}^3 \\ F(\boldsymbol{m})\equiv -5 \pmod 8 \\ \boldsymbol{n}\equiv\boldsymbol{b}(\mathrm{mod}\ 8k^2) \\ F(\boldsymbol{m})-F(\boldsymbol{n})=l}} \mu^2(F(\boldsymbol{m}))w\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{m}}{X+l}, \frac{\boldsymbol{n}}{X}\right)$$

By choice of our weight function, $|\boldsymbol{m}| \ll (X+l)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|\boldsymbol{n}| \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Furthermore, for each fixed $\boldsymbol{n}$, the number of $\boldsymbol{m}$ such that $F(\boldsymbol{m})-F(\boldsymbol{n})-l=0$ is $O\left((X+l)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)$.

Since $k \ll X^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\ll (X+l)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k>X^{\eta}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_2 \in \mathscr{A}_k(1)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{n} \equiv \boldsymbol{a}_2 \pmod{8k^2}} 1 \\ &\ll (X+l)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k>X^{\eta}} \sum_{k^2|n} r_3(n) \ll X^{3/2-\eta}(X+l)^{1/2+\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(s,t) \in S \times S$. Define the sum*

$$T_q(j,k,(s,t);l) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathscr{A}_j(s) \\ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathscr{A}_k(t)}} \sum_{\substack{(d,q)=1 \\ \boldsymbol{x} \pmod{M(s)qj^2} \\ \boldsymbol{x} \equiv \boldsymbol{a} \pmod{M(s)j^2} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \pmod{M(t)qk^2} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \equiv \boldsymbol{b} \pmod{M(t)k^2}}} e_q\left(d(F(\boldsymbol{x}) - F(\boldsymbol{y}) - l)\right).$$

Let $(2, jk) = 1$. For p a prime, let $j_p = v_p(j)$ and $k_p = v_p(k)$ be the p-adic valuations of j^2 and k^2 respectively. Then

$$\sum_{q \leqslant Z} \frac{T_q(j,k,(s,t);l)}{q^6} = \gamma(j,k,(s,t);l) + O(\varrho(j^2)\varrho(k^2)(jk)^{24} Z^{-\frac{3+\delta}{2}+\varepsilon}),$$

where $\gamma(j,k,(s,t);l) = (M(s)j^2)^3 (M(t)k^2)^3 \gamma_2(j,k,(s,t);l) \prod_{2<p<\infty} \gamma_p(j,k;l)$ and

$$\gamma_2((s,t);l) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\#\left\{ \begin{matrix} \boldsymbol{x} \pmod{2^t} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \pmod{2^t} \end{matrix} : \begin{matrix} 2^t \mid sF(\boldsymbol{x}) - tF(\boldsymbol{y}) - l \\ F(\boldsymbol{x}) \in R(s) \pmod{M(s)} \\ F(\boldsymbol{y}) \in R(t) \pmod{M(t)} \end{matrix} \right\}}{2^{5t}}.$$

and

$$\gamma_p(j,k;l) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\#\left\{ \begin{matrix} \boldsymbol{x} \pmod{p^t} \\ \boldsymbol{y} \pmod{p^t} \end{matrix} : \begin{matrix} p^t \mid sF(\boldsymbol{x}) - tF(\boldsymbol{y}) - l \\ p^{2j_p} \mid F(\boldsymbol{x}), p^{2k_p} \mid F(\boldsymbol{y}) \end{matrix} \right\}}{p^{5t}}.$$

when p is odd.

Proof. To prove the lemma, we make the following claim, which is immediate from Lemma 2.3,
Claim: If $q = q_1q_2$, $M(s)j = 8j_1j_2$ and $M(t)k = 8k_1k_2$ with $(M(s)q_1j_1, q_2j_2) = (M(t)q_1k_1, q_2k_2) = 1$, then $T_q(j,k,(s,t);l) = T_{q_1}(j_1,k_1,(s,t);l)T_{q_2}(j_2,k_2,(s,t);l)$.

By Lemma 2.5,

$$\sum_{q\leqslant Z}\frac{T_q(j,k,(s,t);l)}{q^6}=\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\frac{T_q(j,k,(s,t);l)}{q^6}+O(\varrho(j^2)\varrho(k^2)(jk)^{24}Z^{-\frac{3+\delta}{2}+\varepsilon}).$$

Writing $q=\prod p^{q_p}$, $j=\prod p^{j_p}$ and $k=\prod p^{k_p}$, then by the claim we have $T_q(j,k;l)=\prod_p T_{p^{q_p}}(p^{j_p},p^{k_p},(s,t);l)$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{q\leqslant Z}\frac{T_q(j,k,(s,t);l)}{q^6}=\prod_p\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{T_{p^k}(p^{j_p},p^{k_p},(s,t);l)}{p^{6k}}+O(\varrho(j^2)\varrho(k^2)(jk)^{36}Z^{-\frac{3+\delta}{2}+\varepsilon})$$

By standard arguments (for example, [HB2, Lemma 2.2]) it follows that

$$\sum_{q\leqslant Z}\frac{T_q(j,k,(s,t);l)}{q^6}=\gamma(j,k,(s,t);l)+O(\varrho(j^2)\varrho(k^2)(jk)^{36}Z^{-\frac{3+\delta}{2}+\varepsilon}).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

3.2.1. *Applying the main proposition.* We apply Proposition 2.1 to each of the $T(s,t)$ with $Q_1(\boldsymbol{x})=Q_2(\boldsymbol{x})=x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2$, and $A_1=M(s)j^2, A_2=M(t)k^2$. It is easy to see that our weight function in (3.4) has $\|w\|_{1,N}\ll_N P^N$. Moreover, from the nature of the function w, we can take $\delta=P^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in Lemma 2.8.

Letting $Y=X+l$ we get by Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{D}(X,l)=\frac{X^{\frac{3}{2}}Y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{576}\sum_{(s,t)\in S\times S}\tau(s,t)\sigma_\infty(w,(s,t),l)\times\\ \sum_{\substack{j,k\leqslant X^\eta\\(2,jk)=1\\(j,k)^2|l}}\frac{\mu(j)\mu(k)}{M(s)^3j^6M(t)^3k^6}\sum_{q=1}^{\infty}\frac{T_q(j,k,(s,t);l)}{q^6}\\ +O\left(P^{12}X^{12\eta+6\varepsilon}Y^{\frac{5+\delta}{4}}\sum_{\substack{j,k\leqslant X^\eta\\(j,k)^2|l}}(jk)^{36}\varrho(j^2)\varrho(k^2)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_\infty(w,(s,t),l)=\dfrac{\sigma_\infty(w,(1,1),l)}{\tau(s,t)}$ is the singular integral that corresponds to $T(s,t)$ in Lemma 2.8. By our choice of test function w it follows that

$$\sigma_\infty(w,(1,1),l)=\lim_{\kappa\to0}\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{|F(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-\frac{XF(\boldsymbol{x}_2)+l}{X+l}|\leqslant\kappa}d\boldsymbol{x}+O(1/P),\tag{3.5}$$

where the integral on the right is over the region

$$\mathscr{R} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{R}^6 : |F(\boldsymbol{x}_1)| \leqslant 1, |F(\boldsymbol{x}_2)| \leqslant 1 \right\}.$$

Denote the integral by $\sigma_\infty(l)$. By Lemma 3.3, and the fact that $\varrho(j^2) \leqslant j^6$ we have,

$$\widetilde{D}(X,l) = \frac{X^{\frac{3}{2}}Y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{576}\sigma_\infty(w,(1,1),l)\times \sum_{(s,t)\in S\times S}\sum_{\substack{j,k\leqslant X^\eta \\ (2,jk)=1 \\ (j,k)^2|l}} \frac{\mu(j)\mu(k)}{M(s)^3 j^6 M(t)^3 k^6}\gamma(j,k,(s,t);l) + O(P^{12}X^{50\eta}Y^{\frac{5+\delta}{4}+\varepsilon}). \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to see that $\gamma(j,k,(s,t);l) \ll (jk)^{-2}$, so we may extend the j and k sums to get,

$$\widetilde{D}(X,l) = \frac{X^{\frac{3}{2}}Y^{\frac{1}{2}}}{576}\sigma_\infty(w,l)\prod_{p<\infty}\sigma_p(l) + O(P^{12}X^{40\eta}Y^{\frac{5+\delta}{4}+\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$\sigma_p(l) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{(s,t)\in S\times S} \gamma_2((s,t),l) & p=2 \\ \gamma_p(1,1;l) - \gamma_p(p,1;l) - \gamma_p(1,p;l) + \gamma_p(p,p;l) & 2<p<\infty \\ \sigma_\infty(l) & p=\infty. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Define $\widehat{\sigma}(l) = \displaystyle\prod_{p\leqslant\infty}\sigma_p(l)$. Therefore, it follows from (3.3), (3.5) and (3.6), and taking $\eta = 2\varepsilon, P = X^\varepsilon$ that

$$D(X,l) = \frac{\widehat{\sigma}(l)}{576}X^{\frac{3}{2}}Y^{\frac{1}{2}} + O\left(X^{1000\varepsilon}Y^{\frac{5+\delta}{4}} + X^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}Y^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.4. It is easy to explicitly compute the singular integral. Indeed, we have for $l \neq 0$ that

$$\sigma_\infty(l) = \frac{\pi^2}{3X^{\frac{3}{2}}(X+l)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\{(2X+l)\sqrt{X(X+l)} - l^2\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\sqrt{\frac{X}{l}}\right)\right\}. \tag{3.8}$$

To see this, recall that

$$\sigma_\infty(l) = \lim_{\kappa\to 0}\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{|F(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-\frac{XF(\boldsymbol{x}_2)+l}{X+l}|\leqslant\kappa} d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

Integrating first over $\boldsymbol{x}_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\infty(l) &= \lim_{\kappa\to 0}\frac{1}{2\kappa}\frac{4\pi}{3}\int\left(\frac{XF(\boldsymbol{x}_2)+l}{X+l}+\kappa\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-\left(\frac{XF(\boldsymbol{x}_2)+l}{X+l}-\kappa\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}d\boldsymbol{x}_2\\ &= \frac{4\pi}{3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{X+l}}\int_{F(\boldsymbol{x}_2)\leqslant 1}\sqrt{XF(\boldsymbol{x}_2)+l}\,d\boldsymbol{x}_2\end{aligned}$$

Switching to spherical coordinates, we get

$$\sigma_\infty(l) = \frac{16\pi^2}{3\sqrt{X+l}}\int_0^1 r^2\sqrt{r^2X+l}\,dr, \tag{3.9}$$

and (3.8) follows. As a result, we see that $\sigma_\infty(l) = \dfrac{4\pi^2}{3}+O(X^{-\varepsilon})$ whenever $l\ll X^{1-2\varepsilon}$. Denote by I the integral over r in (3.9). Set $r^2X=t$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}I &= \frac{1}{2X^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int_0^X t^{\frac{1}{2}}(t+l)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,dt\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{X+l}}{3}-\frac{1}{6X^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int_0^X t^{\frac{3}{2}}(t+l)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

As a result, when $l\gg X^{1+2\varepsilon}$ we have $\sigma_\infty(l)=\dfrac{16\pi^2}{9}+O(X^{-\varepsilon})$. Moreover, in the range $0\leqslant l\ll X^{2-2\varepsilon}$ we see that $1\ll\sigma_\infty(l)\ll 1$, and the implied constants are absolute.

3.3. **Proof of theorem 1.4.** The proof of Theorem 1.4 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, so we only give a brief outline. Once again it suffices to consider the following weighted analogue of $S(X,d)$,

$$S(w,d) = \sum^{\flat}\beta(n/X)h(-(n^2+d)), \tag{3.10}$$

where $w(\boldsymbol{x})=\alpha\left(\dfrac{Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)}{X^2+d}\right)\beta\left(\dfrac{\boldsymbol{x}_2}{X}\right)$. Let $Q_1(\boldsymbol{x})=x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2$ and $Q_2(\boldsymbol{x})=x^2$.

As before, we need some notation to handle the 2-adic congruence conditions. Let $S=\{3,4,8\}$. Let $M(4)=M(8)=16$ and $M(3)=8$. Let $\tau(4)=\tau(8)=2$ and $\tau(3)=1$. For $s\in S$ define

$$\mathscr{A}_j(s)=\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_1\in\left(\mathbf{Z}/M(s)j^2\mathbf{Z}\right)^3:\begin{array}{l}Q_1(\boldsymbol{a}_1)\equiv s\ (\mathrm{mod}\ M(s))\\ Q_1(\boldsymbol{a}_1)\equiv 0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ j^2)\end{array}\right\}.$$

Since we are excluding fundamental discriminants $-(n^2+d)$ that are congruent to $1 \pmod 8$ we get, for $\eta > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\widetilde{S}(X,d) = \frac{1}{24}\sum_{s\in S}\tau(s)\sum_{\substack{j\leqslant X^\eta\\(2,j)=1}}\mu(j)\sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_1\in\mathscr{A}_j(s)}\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{x}_1\equiv\boldsymbol{a}_1 \pmod{M(s)j^2}\\ Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2)=d}}\alpha\left(\frac{Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)}{X^2+d}\right)\beta\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_2}{X}\right)$$
$$+ O_\varepsilon(X^{1-\eta}(X^2+d)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}).$$

Let

$$\gamma_2(s,j) = \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\#\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \pmod{2^t} \quad : \begin{array}{l} 2^t \mid Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-Q_2(\boldsymbol{x_2})-d \\ Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\equiv R(s) \pmod{M(s)}\end{array}\right\}}{2^{3t}}$$

and set $\gamma(j) = \prod_{p<\infty}\gamma_p(j)$, where

$$\gamma_2(d) = \sum_{s\in S}\tau(s)\gamma_2(s,j),$$

and

$$\gamma_p(j;d) = \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\#\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \pmod{p^t} \quad : \begin{array}{l} p^t \mid Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-Q_2(\boldsymbol{x_2})-d \\ p^{2j_p} \mid Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\end{array}\right\}}{p^{3t}}$$

when p is odd. Also define

$$\gamma_\infty(d) = \lim_{\kappa\to 0}\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_{|Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)-\frac{X^2Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2)+d}{X^2+d}|\leqslant\kappa} d\boldsymbol{x},$$

where the integral on the right is over the region

$$\mathscr{R} = \left\{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbf{R}^6 : |Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)|\leqslant 1, |Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2)|\leqslant 1\right\}.$$

Following the proof of 1.1, and replacing X by X^2, and Y by $(X^2+d)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get

$$\widetilde{S}(X,d) = \frac{\widetilde{\sigma}(d)}{24}X(X^2+d)^{\frac{1}{2}} + O\left((X^2+d)^{\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{3.11}$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}(d) = \prod_{p\leqslant\infty}\widetilde{\sigma}_p(d)$ and

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_p(d) = \begin{cases}\gamma_2(d) & p=2\\ \gamma_p(1;d)-\gamma_p(p;d) & p>2\\ \gamma_\infty(d) & p=\infty.\end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.**

Proof. The proof follows at once from Proposition 2.1 by taking $A_1 = A_2 = 1$, and by setting $w(\boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha(Q_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1))\beta(Q_2(\boldsymbol{x}_2))$, with $P = X^\varepsilon$. □

REFERENCES

[B] V Blomer, Shifted convolution sums and subconvexity bounds for automorphic L-functions, *Int. Mat. Res. Not.* **73** (2004).

[C] H Cohen, A course in computational number theory, Springer (1996).

[DFI1] W Duke, J Friedlander and H Iwaniec, Bounds for automorphic L-functions, *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993).

[GS] A Granville and K Soundararajan, The distibution of values of $L(1, \chi_d)$, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2003).

[HB1] D R Heath-Brown, A new form of the circle method, and its application to quadratic forms, *J. reine angew. Math.* **481** (1996).

[HB2] D R Heath-Brown, Analytic methods for the distribution of rational points on algebraic varieties. Equidistribution in number theory, an introduction, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem. **237** (2007).

[HBP] D R Heath-Brown and L B Pierce, Simultaneous integer values of pairs of quadratic forms, *J. reine angew. Math.*, to appear.

[I] H Iwaniec, Spectral methods of automorphic forms, Grad. Studies in Math., vol. 53, American Math. Soc., Providence (2002).

[La] A F Lavrik, The moments of the number of classes of primitive quadratic forms with negative determinant, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR.* **197** (1971).

[M] W Muller, The mean square of Dirichlet series associated with automorphic forms, *Mh. Math.* **113** (1992).

[W] D Wolke, Moments of class numbers, III, *J. Number Th.* **4** (1972).

E-mail address: vinay.visw@gmail.com